

DISINTEGRATION OF MEASURES ON COMPACT TRANSFORMATION GROUPS

BY

RUSSELL A. JOHNSON

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact metrizable group which acts freely on a locally compact Hausdorff space X . Let μ be a measure on X , $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G \equiv Y$ the projection, $\nu = \pi(\mu)$. We show that there is a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration of μ with respect to π . We use this result to prove a structure theorem concerning T -ergodic measures on bitransformation groups (G, X, T) with G metric and X compact. We finish with some remarks concerning the case when G is not metric.

Introduction. This paper falls naturally into two parts. The first deals with the following situation: G , a compact metric group, acts *freely* on a locally compact space X (thus, if $g \cdot x = x$ for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$, then $g =$ identity in G). The quotient $Y = X/G$ is locally compact; let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the canonical projection. We show that each measure μ on X has a $\pi(\mu) = \nu$ -Lusin-measurable disintegration with respect to π (see §0 for definitions; see [6] for a detailed discussion of disintegrations and their relationship to liftings). No theorem known to the author yields this result, although it is similar to theorems on the disintegration of a measure on a product space (see [2] and [6]).

The second part considers a special case: μ is a T -ergodic measure on a compact Hausdorff space X which is the phase space of a bitransformation group (G, X, T) with G metric. Let

$$G_0 = \left\{ g \in G \mid \int_X f(gx) d\mu(x) = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x) \text{ for all } f \in C(X) \right\},$$

and let γ_0 be Haar measure on G_0 . We show that, if $\nu \rightarrow \lambda_\nu$ is the disintegration of §1, then each λ_ν "looks like" γ_0 in a certain sense. The following result is crucial: If Z is a Hausdorff space and $f: X \rightarrow Z$ a μ -Lusin-measurable, T -invariant map, then $f(x) = \text{const}$ μ -a.e. Finally, in §6, we remove the metrizability assumption on G ; we assume the existence of a strong lifting on (Y, ν) (the only place in the paper where this is done).

These results represent a portion of the author's Ph.D. thesis, written at Minnesota. The author wishes to thank his advisor, Professor Robert Ellis,

Received by the editors April 26, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A50, 28A65, 54H20.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

for his valuable advice and constant, much appreciated, help and encouragement.

0. Preliminaries. We quote definitions and results from [1], [3], [6], and [12]; see these references for more details.

0.1. Let W be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $K(W)$ the set of continuous complex functions on W with compact support, with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. A (Radon) measure on W is a continuous complex linear functional on $K(W)$; we denote the set of all such functionals by $C^*(W)$. We will *always* assume $C^*(W)$ is given the topology of pointwise convergence (the *vague* topology). Let $M_+(W)$ consist of those positive elements η of $C^*(W)$ for which $\|\eta\| = \eta(W) < \infty$. See [1, Chapter III, §1; Chapter IV, §4, $\eta^\circ 7$, Proposition 12].

0.2. Let W be as above, η a positive measure on W , Z a topological space, $\pi: W \rightarrow Z$ a map. Say π is η -Lusin-measurable [12] if, for each compact $K \subset W$, there is a sequence (K_i) of pairwise disjoint compact sets such that (i) $\eta(K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty K_i) = 0$; (ii) $\pi|_{K_i}$ is continuous ($i \geq 1$). If π is η -Lusin-measurable, then $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is η -measurable for each open (closed) $V \subset Z$. See [1, Chapter IV, §5].

0.3. Let W, η be as above. Let $M^1(W, \eta)$ be the set of η -integrable complex functions on W , $L^1(W, \eta)$ the (usual) set of equivalence classes modulo null sets. Let N_1 be the norm on $L^1(W, \eta)$. Similarly, define $M^\infty(W, \eta)$ and $L^\infty(W, \eta)$.

0.4. Let W, η, π be as above, and let Z be locally compact Hausdorff. Call π η -proper if, for each compact $K \subset Z$, $\pi^{-1}(K)$ is η -integrable. If π is η -proper, the map $f \rightarrow \eta(f \circ \pi): K(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defines a Radon measure γ on Z ; we write $\gamma = \pi(\eta)$. If $f \in L^1(Z, \gamma)$, then $\int_W (f \circ \pi) d\eta = \int_Z f d\gamma$. See [1, Chapter V, §6].

0.5. Let $\lambda: W \rightarrow M_+(Z): w \rightarrow \lambda_w$ be a map. Say λ is *weakly η -measurable* if $y \rightarrow \langle \lambda_w, f \rangle$ is η -measurable for each $f \in K(Z)$. The map λ is *weakly essentially η -integrable* if $f \in K(Z) \Rightarrow w \rightarrow \langle \lambda_w, f \rangle$ is essentially η -integrable. In this case, the formula $f \rightarrow \int_W \langle \lambda_w, f \rangle d\eta(w)$ defines a measure γ on Z . If $f \in L^1(Z, \gamma)$, then the map $w \rightarrow \langle \lambda_w, f \rangle$ is defined η -a.e., is η -integrable, and $\gamma(f) = \int_W \langle \lambda_w, f \rangle d\eta(w)$. We write $\gamma = \int_W \lambda_w d\eta(w)$. Finally, if $\lambda': W \rightarrow M_+(Z)$ is another map, say $\lambda' = \lambda$ *weakly ν -a.e.* if $\langle \lambda'_w, f \rangle = \langle \lambda_w, f \rangle$ ν -a.e. for each $f \in K(Z)$. See [1, Chapter V, §3].

0.6. DEFINITION. Let $\pi: W \rightarrow Z$ be η -proper, $\gamma = \pi(\eta)$. A weakly essentially γ -integrable map $\lambda: Z \rightarrow M_+(W): z \rightarrow \lambda_z$ is a *disintegration of η with respect to π* if:

- (a) λ is γ -adequate [1, Chapter V, §3, $\eta^\circ 1$, Definition 1];
- (b) $\|\lambda_z\| = 1$ for all z ;

(c) $\text{Support}(\lambda_z) \subset \pi^{-1}(z)$ ($z \in Z$);

(d) $\eta = \int_Z \lambda_z d\gamma(z)$.

If λ is γ -Lusin-measurable (with respect to the vague topology) and satisfies $\|\lambda_z\| \leq \text{const} < \infty$ γ -a.e., then λ is ν -adequate. See [1, Chapter VI, §3, $\eta^\circ 1$; Chapter V, §3, $\eta^\circ 1$, Proposition 2].

0.7. THEOREM (DUNFORD AND PETTIS). *Let E be a separable Banach space, E' its dual with the norm topology. Let $\zeta: L^1(\eta) \rightarrow E'$ be a bounded linear map. There exists a map $\lambda: W \rightarrow E': w \rightarrow \lambda_w$ such that (i) $w \rightarrow \langle e, \lambda_w \rangle$ is η -measurable, and (ii) if $f \in L^1(\eta)$, then*

$$\langle \zeta(f), e \rangle = \int_W f(w) \langle \lambda_w, e \rangle d\eta(w) \quad (e \in E).$$

One has $\|\zeta\| = \text{ess sup}_{w \in W} \|\lambda_w\|$. Further, if $\lambda': W \rightarrow E'$ is another map satisfying (i) and (ii), then $\lambda' = \lambda$ locally η -a.e.

For a proof, see [6, Corollary 1, p. 89].

0.8. The following notation will be fixed from now on. G will be a compact Hausdorff topological group, X a locally compact Hausdorff space (often compact) with Radon measure μ . We assume (G, X) is a left-transformation group [3] such that G acts freely. Define $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G \equiv Y$ to be the canonical projection, and let $\nu = \pi(\mu)$. If $A \subset X$, let $G \cdot A = \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G, x \in A\}$. If $f \in C(X)$, let $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(gx)$; if $\mu \in C^*(X)$, let $(g\mu)(f) = \mu(fg)$. We will sometimes write dg for Haar measure on G . Finally, in §§4–6, T will denote an arbitrary group such that (X, T) is a right transformation group; in §§5–6, (G, X, T) will be a bitransformation group (the actions of G and T commute). See [3].

I. COMPACT TRANSFORMATION GROUPS

In §1, we assume G is a Lie group and X is compact. In §2, G is allowed to be metric; in §3, X becomes locally compact.

1. X compact, G Lie. Let μ be a measure on X , $\nu = \pi(\mu)$. To disintegrate μ with respect to π , we first express X as a “measurable product” $Y \times G$. We then apply the Dunford-Pettis theorem (0.7) to the map $\zeta: L^1(\nu) \rightarrow C^*(G)$ given by $\zeta(f) = \pi_2[(f \circ \pi) \cdot \mu]$; here $\pi_2: X \rightarrow G$ is the projection. The map $\omega: Y \rightarrow C^*(G)$ that results will then be used to construct a disintegration of μ .

The key to this section is the following result; it is an immediate corollary of [8, §5.4, Theorem 1].

1.1. THEOREM. *For each $x \in X$, there is a compact neighborhood U of x and a compact $F \subset U$ such that $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap F$ is a single point whenever $y \in \pi(U)$.*

1.2. Notation. For each $x \in X$, pick sets U_x, F_x satisfying the conditions of 1.1. It is clear that we can replace each U_x by its saturation $G \cdot U_x$. Assume

this done, and choose sets U_{x_i} ($1 \leq i \leq r$) which cover X . Let $U_i \equiv U_{x_i}$, $F_i \equiv F_{x_i}$, $V_i = \pi(U_i)$. Define maps $\tau_i: V_i \rightarrow U_i$ by $\{\tau_i(y)\} = F_i \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$. Let $A_1 = V_1$, $A_i = V_i \sim \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_j$ ($2 \leq i \leq r$). Then the A_i are Borel, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i = Y$. Let $B_i = \pi^{-1}(A_i)$. Define $\tau: Y \rightarrow X$ by $\tau|_{A_i} = \tau_i$.

The following lemma is a consequence of the definitions.

1.3. LEMMA. *The maps $(g, x) \rightarrow g \cdot x: G \times F_i \rightarrow U_i$ and $v_i: (g, y) \rightarrow g \cdot \tau_i(y): G \times V_i \rightarrow U_i$ are homeomorphisms ($1 \leq i \leq r$). The map τ is a section of X over Y (i.e., $\tau(y)$ is an element of $\pi^{-1}(y)$ for each $y \in Y$), and τ is ν -Lusin-measurable.*

1.4. DEFINITION. For $x \in X$, let $\pi_2(x) \in G$ be determined by $\pi_2(x) \cdot \tau(y) = x$ ($y = \pi(x)$); thus $\pi_2: X \rightarrow G$.

In other words, $\pi_2(X)$ is that g such that $g \cdot \tau(y) = x$. Using 1.3, one sees that π_2 is continuous on each set B_i . By 0.2, π_2 is η -Lusin measurable for every $\eta \in C^*(X)$. Also, if $K \subset G$ is compact, then $\pi_2^{-1}(K)$ is η -integrable ($\eta \in C^*(G)$). Therefore,

1.5. LEMMA. *The map π_2 is η -proper (0.4) for every $\eta \in C^*(G)$.*

1.6. THEOREM. *There is a ν -Lusin-measurable map $\omega: Y \rightarrow M_+(G): y \rightarrow \omega_y$, such that:*

(a) $\|\omega_y\| = 1$ ($y \in Y$).

(b) *If $f \in M^1(Y, \nu)$ and $h \in C(G)$, then*

$$\int_X f(\pi(x))h(\pi_2(x)) d\mu(x) = \int_Y f(y)\omega_y(h) d\nu(y).$$

If $\omega': Y \rightarrow C^(G): y \rightarrow \omega'_y$ is another ω^* - ν -measurable map satisfying (b) such that $\|\omega'_y\| \leq M$ ν -a.e. for some M , then $\omega'_y = \omega_y$ ν -a.e.*

PROOF. Let $f \in M^1(Y, \nu)$. Since π_2 is $(f \circ \pi) \cdot \mu$ -proper (0.4), $\pi_2[(f \circ \pi) \cdot \mu] \equiv \zeta(f)$ is a measure on G . We estimate the norm of $\zeta(f)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta(f)\| &= \sup_{\|h\| \leq 1} |\zeta(f) \cdot h| \\ &= \sup_{\|h\| \leq 1} \left| \int_X f(\pi(x))h(\pi_2(x)) d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_X |f \circ \pi_2(x)| d\mu(x) = N_1(f). \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that ζ induces a linear map of $L^1(Y, \nu)$ into $C^*(G)$ such that $\|\zeta(f)\| \leq N_1(f)$. By the Dunford-Pettis theorem (0.7), there is a ν -Lusin-measurable map $\omega: Y \rightarrow C^*(G): y \rightarrow \omega_y$ such that (b) holds; further, if ω' satisfies the description in 1.6, then $\omega' = \omega$ ν -a.e.

It must still be shown that (perhaps after modification on a set of measure

zero) one has $\omega_y \in M_+(X)$ and $\|\omega_y\| = 1$ for all y . Let $h \in C_+(G) = \{f \in C(G) | f(g) \geq 0 \text{ for all } g\}$, and let $Y \subset B_h = \{y | \omega_y(h) < 0\}$. Then B_h is ν -measurable (since ω is); let θ be the characteristic function of B_h . Then

$$0 < \int_X \theta(\pi(x)) h(\pi_2(x)) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \theta(y) \omega_y(h) d\nu(y) < 0.$$

Hence $\nu(B_h) = 0$. Let $(h_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable dense subset of $C_+(G)$; it is easily seen that $h \in C_+(G) \Rightarrow B_h \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_{h_i}$. It follows that $\omega_y \geq 0$ ν -a.e. To check that $\|\omega_y\| = 1$ ν -a.e., let $h_0(g) \equiv 1$ ($g \in G$). Then $\|\omega_y\| = \omega_y(h_0)$, but by (b),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y f(y) d\nu(y) &= \int_X f(\pi(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X f(\pi(x)) h_0(\pi_2(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_Y f(y) \omega_y(h_0) d\nu(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in M^1(\nu)$. It follows that $\omega_y(h_0) = 1$ ν -a.e.

We are still using the notation of 1.2.

1.7. LEMMA. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in C(X)$ be given. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, there exist $h_i \in C(G)$ and a bounded Borel function $\psi_i: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that, on B_i , $|f(x) - \psi_i(\pi(x)) h_i(\pi_2(x))| < \varepsilon$.*

PROOF. Let $v_i: G \times V_i \rightarrow U_i$ be the homeomorphism of 1.3, and let $f_i = f \circ v_i$. There are functions $\psi'_i \in C(V_i)$, $h_i \in C(G)$ such that $|f_i(g, y) - \psi'_i(y) h_i(g)| < \varepsilon$ ($y \in V_i$, $g \in G$). Let

$$\psi_i(y) = \begin{cases} \psi'_i(y), & y \in A_i, \\ 0, & y \notin A_i. \end{cases}$$

Then ψ_i is bounded Borel. Now on B_i , $\pi_2(x) = g$ and $\pi(x) = y$, where $v_i(g, y) = x$. Thus, on B_i , $|f(x) - \psi_i(\pi(x)) h_i(\pi_2(x))| < \varepsilon$.

1.8. DEFINITION. If $y \in Y$, let $\phi y: G \rightarrow X: g \rightarrow g \cdot \tau(y)$ (τ is defined in 1.3).

Observe that ϕy is a homeomorphism onto $\pi^{-1}(y)$.

1.9. THEOREM. *There exists a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration $\lambda: Y \rightarrow M_+(X): y \rightarrow \lambda_y$ of μ with respect to π . If $\lambda': y \rightarrow \lambda'_y$ is another ν -Lusin-measurable map satisfying 0.6 (c), (d) and such that $\|\lambda'_y\| \leq M < \infty$ ν -a.e., then $\lambda'_y = \lambda_y$ ν -a.e.*

PROOF. Let ω be the map of 1.6, and define a measure λ_y on X by $\langle \lambda_y, f \rangle = \langle \omega_y, f \circ \phi y \rangle$ ($f \in C(X)$). It follows immediately from 1.6 and this definition of λ_y that $\lambda_y \geq 0$, $\|\lambda_y\| = 1$, and $\text{Supp}(\lambda_y) \subset \pi^{-1}(y)$. We must show

that $\lambda: y \rightarrow \lambda_y$ is ν -Lusin-measurable, and that $\mu(f) = \int_Y \lambda_y(f) d\nu(y)$ for all $f \in C(X)$.

For measurability, fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose a compact $F \subset Y$ such that (i) $\nu(\sim F) < \varepsilon$, and (ii) both $\omega|_F$ and $\tau|_F$ are continuous. Let $f \in C(X)$, and suppose $y_n \rightarrow y$ in F . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{y_n}(f) - \lambda_y(f)| &= |\lambda_{y_n}(f \circ \phi y_n) - \lambda_y(f \circ \phi y)| \\ &\leq |\lambda_{y_n}(f \circ \phi y_n) - \lambda_{y_n}(f \circ \phi y)| + |\lambda_{y_n}(f \circ \phi y) - \lambda_y(f \circ \phi y)| \\ &\leq \|f \circ \phi y_n - f \circ \phi y\|_{C(G)} + |\lambda_{y_n}(f \circ \phi y) - \lambda_y(f \circ \phi y)|. \end{aligned}$$

By (ii) above, both terms tend to zero. Thus $\lambda_{y_n} \rightarrow \lambda_y$ (vaguely) $\Rightarrow \lambda|_F$ is continuous $\Rightarrow \lambda$ is ν -Lusin-measurable.

By ν -measurability and the fact that $\|\lambda_y\| = 1$ for all y , the formula

$$\mu'(f) = \int_Y \lambda_y(f) d\nu(y) \quad (f \in C(X))$$

defines a measure on X (0.5). We will show that $\mu' = \mu$.

Observe first that

$$(*) \quad \langle \lambda_y, h \circ \pi_2 \rangle = \langle \omega_y, h \rangle \quad (y \in Y, h \in C(G)).$$

Let θ_i be the characteristic function of $A_i \subset Y$. Recalling that $B_i = \pi^{-1}(A_i)$, we have

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{i=1}^r \int_{B_i} f(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r \int_X \theta_i(\pi(x)) f(x) d\mu(x) \quad (f \in C(X)).$$

Fix f , and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By 1.7, there are functions $h_i \in C(G)$ and bounded Borel functions ψ_i on Y such that $|f(x) - \psi_i(\pi(x))h_i(\pi_2(x))| < \varepsilon$ ($x \in B_i$). Let f' be defined by

$$f'(x) = \psi_i(\pi(x))h_i(\pi_2(x)) \quad (x \in B_i, 1 \leq i \leq r).$$

Then f' is μ' -integrable, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'(f') &= \int_Y \lambda_y(f') d\nu(y) \quad (\text{by 0.5}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \int_Y \theta_i(y) \psi_i(y) \lambda_y(h \circ \pi_2) d\nu(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \int_Y \theta_i(y) \psi_i(y) \omega_y(h) d\nu(y) \quad (\text{by } (*) \text{ above}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \int_X \theta_i(\pi(x)) \psi_i(\pi(x)) h(\pi_2(x)) d\mu(x) \quad (\text{by 1.6}) \\ &= \mu(f'). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows easily from the uniform bound $|f(x) - f'(x)| < \varepsilon$ ($x \in X$) that $\mu(f) = \mu'(f) = \int_Y \lambda_y(f) d\nu(y)$.

Uniqueness remains to be shown. Let λ' be as in the statement of 1.8, and let $\omega'_y = \pi_2(\lambda'_y)$. It is straightforward to check that $y \rightarrow \omega'_y$ is ν -Lusin-measurable. Let $f \in M^1(\nu)$, $h \in C(G)$; then $(f \circ \pi) \cdot (h \circ \pi_2)$ is μ -integrable, hence (0.5)

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \circ \pi) \cdot (h \circ \pi_2)) &= \int_Y \lambda'_y((f \circ \pi) \cdot (h \circ \pi_2)) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_Y f(y) \lambda'_y(h \circ \pi_2) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_Y f(y) \omega'_y(h) d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness in 1.6, $\omega'_y = \omega_y$ ν -a.e., and it follows that $\lambda'_y = \lambda_y$ ν -a.e.

2. X compact, G metric. The following result ([8, Chapter IV, §7] or [5, p. 67]) is basic.

2.1. THEOREM. *Let H be a compact topological group. Then every neighborhood of the identity element contains a closed normal subgroup L such that H/L is a Lie group.*

2.2. Notation. Consider a transformation group (G, X) where G is not necessarily metric. Let $\{G_l\}$ be a decreasing net of closed normal subgroups such that G/G_l is a Lie group; such exists by 2.1. If G is metric, $\{G_l\}$ may be taken to be a sequence. Let $X_l = X/G_l$, $\pi_l: X \rightarrow X_l$; observe that $(G/G_l, X_l)$ is a transformation group with G/G_l Lie. Each space $C(X_l)$ may be embedded in $C(X)$; one then has that $\cup_l C(X_l)$ is dense in $C(X)$.

2.3. Discussion. Let (G, X) be a transformation group with G metric, $\{G_l\}$ a decreasing sequence of normal subgroups as in 2.2. Let μ be a measure on X , $\mu_l = \pi_l(\mu)$. Apply 1.9 to a fixed μ_l to obtain a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration $\tilde{\lambda}^l: Y \rightarrow M_+(X_l): y \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_y^l$. Define a map $\lambda^l: Y \rightarrow M_+(X)$ by Haar-lifting elements $\tilde{\lambda}_y^l$ by G_l ; thus

$$\lambda_y^l(f) = \int_{X_l} \left(\int_{G_l} f(g_l x) dg_l \right) d\tilde{\lambda}_y^l,$$

where dg_l refers to Haar measure on G_l (observe that the quantity in parentheses defines a continuous function on X_l when $f \in C(X)$). It is easily checked that λ^l is ν -Lusin-measurable.

2.4. THEOREM. *There is a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration λ of μ with respect to π . The uniqueness statement of 1.9 holds here, also.*

PROOF. Let l, m be positive integers, $l > m$, and let $A_{l,m} = \{y \in Y | \lambda_y^l(f)$

$= \lambda_y^m(f)$ for all $f \in C(X_m)$. Since $\lambda^l|_{C(X_m)}$ is clearly a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration of μ_m , uniqueness in 1.9 implies that $\nu(A_{l,m}) = 1$. Let $A = \bigcap_{l > m} A_{l,m}$; A also has ν -measure 1. Now if $f \in Q = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} C(X_l) \subset C(X)$, and if $y \in A$, then there is an l_0 so that $l \geq l_0 \Rightarrow \langle f, \lambda_y^l \rangle$ is constant. That is, $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, \lambda_y^l \rangle$ exists for each $f \in Q$. Since Q is dense in $C(X)$, we conclude that $\lambda_y \equiv \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_y^l \in M_+(X)$ exists for each $y \in A$. Define $\lambda: Y \rightarrow M_+(X)$ to be λ_y if $y \in A$, and some point-mass supported on $\pi^{-1}(y)$ if $y \notin A$.

We show that λ is ν -Lusin-measurable (this is not immediate, since $M_+(X)$ is not separable). Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and choose compact sets $K_l \subset A \subset Y$, $\nu(K_l) > 1 - \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-(l+1)}$, such that $\lambda^l|_{K_l}$ is continuous. Let $K = \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l$; then $\nu(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. If $y_n \rightarrow y$ in K , and if $f \in C(X_l)$, then

$$\lambda_{y_n}(f) = \lambda_{y_n}^l(f) \rightarrow \lambda_y^l(f) = \lambda_y(f).$$

It follows that λ is ν -Lusin-measurable.

That $\text{Supp}(\lambda_y) \subset \pi^{-1}(y)$ is a consequence of $\text{Supp}(\lambda_y^l) \subset \pi^{-1}(y)$ ($l \geq 1$). The other conditions of 0.6 obviously hold. The uniqueness is obtained as follows. Let σ be another ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration of μ , and let $\sigma_l = \sigma|_{C(X_l)}$. Then σ_l defines a disintegration of μ_l , hence equals $\lambda|_{C(X_l)}$ on a set B_l of ν -measure 1. Let $B = \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} B_l$; $\sigma = \lambda$ on B .

3. X locally compact, G metric. For the material in 3.1–3.5, see [1, Chapter IV, §5, N°s 9, 10].

3.1. DEFINITION. A family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of subsets of Y is *locally countable* if, for each $y \in Y$, there is a neighborhood V of y such that $V \cap A_i$ is nonempty for at most countably many i .

3.2. THEOREM. *There is a locally countable family $(K_i)_{i \in I}$ of compact subsets of Y , pairwise disjoint, such that $Y \sim \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$ is locally ν -null.*

3.3. DEFINITION. Let $A \subset Y$ be ν -measurable, and let f map A to a topological space Z . Say that f is ν -measurable if every extension of f to Y , constant on $Y \sim A$, is ν -Lusin-measurable.

3.4. THEOREM. *Let $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally countable family of ν -measurable subsets of Y , $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. A map $f: A \rightarrow Z$ is ν -measurable iff $f|_{A_i}$ is measurable for each i .*

3.5. THEOREM. *Let $K \subset Y$ be compact, $f: K \rightarrow Z$ a map. Then f is ν -measurable iff f is $\nu|_K$ -Lusin-measurable. Here $\nu|_K$ is the restriction of ν to K .*

Choose a locally countable collection (K_i) of disjoint compact subsets of Y as in 4.2, and let $L_i = \pi^{-1}(K_i)$ for each i . Note $L_i \subset X$ is compact. Let $\tau_i: L_i \rightarrow X$ be the injection for fixed i ; the induced map $\tau_i: M_+(L_i) \rightarrow M_+(X)$ is vaguely continuous. Define a map λ^i as follows: if $\tilde{\lambda}^i: K_i \rightarrow M_+(L_i)$ is a disintegration of $\mu|_{K_i}$ (as in 2.4) with respect to $\pi_i: L_i \rightarrow K_i$, let $\lambda^i = \tau_i \circ \tilde{\lambda}^i$.

Then λ^i is $\nu|_{K_i}$ -measurable. Define $\lambda: Y \rightarrow M_+(X)$ by: $\lambda_y = \lambda_y^i$ if $y \in K_i$; $\lambda_y = \delta_x$ for some $x \in \pi^{-1}(y)$ if $y \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$.

3.6. THEOREM. *The map λ is a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration of μ with respect to π . The uniqueness statement of 1.9 holds with " ν -a.e." replaced by "locally ν -a.e."*

PROOF. Let $N = Y \sim \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$. Any function defined on N is ν -measurable since N is locally- ν -null. Combining 3.5, 3.4, and 3.3 shows that λ is ν -Lusin-measurable. Clearly λ_y is supported on $\pi^{-1}(y)$, and $\|\lambda_y\| = 1$ ($y \in Y$).

Let $f \in K(X)$ be nonnegative. Observe that, since $S = \text{Support}(f)$ is compact, the function $r(y) = \lambda_y(f)$ is ν -integrable. Also, the set $J = \{i \in I: S \cap L_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is countable. So:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y \lambda_y(f) d\nu(y) &= \int_Y \sum_{j \in J} r(y) \cdot \phi_{K_j} d\nu(y) = \sum_{j \in J} \int_{K_j} r(y) d(\nu|_{K_j})(y) \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \int_{K_j} \tilde{\lambda}_y^j(f|_{K_j}) d(\nu|_{K_j})(y) = \sum_{j \in J} \int_{L_j} (f \circ \tau_j) d(\mu|_{L_j}) = \int_X f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that μ is a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration of μ with respect to π .

To show uniqueness, let λ' be another ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration. Restricting λ' to K_i for each i and applying uniqueness in 2.4 shows that $\lambda' = \lambda$ locally ν -a.e.

II. ERGODIC MEASURES ON BITRANSFORMATION GROUPS

4. Generalities on ergodic measures. We give some basic material, then prove a lemma (4.4) which is of importance in §5.

Let (X, T) be a transformation group with X compact Hausdorff and T an arbitrary group. If $t \in T$ and $A \subset X$, define $A \cdot t = \{xt | x \in A\}$. If $f \in C(X)$ and $t \in T$, let $(ft)(x) = f(xt)$ ($x \in X$); if $\mu \in C^*(X)$, let $(t\mu)(f) = \mu(ft)$ ($f \in C(X)$).

4.1. DEFINITION. A measure μ on X is T -invariant if $t\mu = \mu$ for all $t \in T$. Then (0.5) $\mu(A \cdot t^{-1}) = \mu(A)$ for each μ -measurable $A \subset X$ and each $t \in T$.

4.2. DEFINITION. A measure μ on X is T -ergodic if: (i) it is a positive probability measure (i.e., $\|\mu\| = 1$); (ii) whenever $A \subset X$ is μ -measurable and $\mu(A \Delta At^{-1}) = 0$ for all $t \in T$, one has $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) = 1$. Here Δ = symmetric difference.

It is easily seen that this definition is equivalent to the one obtained by replacing " A is μ -measurable" by " A is Borel".

We will later (§6) use the following well-known result; see Phelps [11] for a proof.

4.3. THEOREM. A measure μ on X is ergodic iff μ is extreme in the compact convex set of T -invariant probabilities on X .

4.4. LEMMA. A measure μ on X is ergodic \Leftrightarrow the following holds: if Z is a Hausdorff space and $f: X \rightarrow Z$ a μ -measurable map satisfying $f(xt) = f(x)$ μ -a.e. for each $t \in T$, then $f = \text{constant}$ μ -a.e.

PROOF. \Leftarrow : Let $A \subset X$ be a μ -measurable with $\mu(A \triangle At^{-1}) = 0$ ($t \in T$). If ϕ_A is the characteristic function of A , then $\phi_A(xt) = \phi_A(x)$ μ -a.e. for each t . By the hypothesis, one now obtains $\phi_A(x) = 0$ or 1 for μ -almost all x .

\Rightarrow : Let $Q_1 = \{z \in Z \mid \text{there exists an open set } V \text{ containing } z \text{ such that } \mu(f^{-1}(V)) = 0\}$, and let $Q = \sim Q_1$. There are three steps: (i) Q contains at most one point; (ii) Q contains at least one point; (iii) if $Q = \{b\}$, then $f(x) = b$ μ -a.e.

(i) If $a, b \in Q$, $a \neq b$, choose disjoint open sets V_a, V_b containing a, b respectively, and let $A = f^{-1}(V_a)$, $B = f^{-1}(V_b)$. Then $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and $\mu(A) > 0$, $\mu(B) > 0$. Since $\mu(X) = 1$, one has $0 < \mu(A) < 1$, $0 < \mu(B) < 1$. However, $f(xt) = f(x)$ μ -a.e. ($t \in T$) $\Rightarrow \mu(A \triangle At^{-1}) = 0 = \mu(B \triangle Bt^{-1}) = 0$. Therefore ergodicity of μ is violated.

(ii) Suppose $Q = \emptyset$. Let K be a compact subset of X , $\mu(K) > 0$, such that $f|_K$ is continuous. Then $K_1 = f(K)$ is compact. Each $z \in K_1$ has a neighborhood V_z in Z such that $\mu(f^{-1}(V_z)) = 0$. Let $K_1 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{z_i}$; then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \mu(K) &\leq \mu(f^{-1}(K_1)) \leq \mu\left[f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{z_i}\right)\right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(f^{-1}(V_{z_i})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Q \neq \emptyset$, and there is a $b \in Z$ such that $Q = \{b\}$.

(iii) We show that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a set K , $\mu(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$, such that $f(K) = \{b\}$. Let L be a compact set such that $\mu(L) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $f|_L$ is continuous. Let μ_L be the restriction of μ to L , and let the support of μ_L be $K \subset L$. If μ_K is μ restricted to K , then $\text{Supp } \mu_K = K$, and if V is open in K , then $0 < \mu_K(V) = \mu(V)$ [1]. Further, $\mu(K) = \mu(L) > 1 - \varepsilon$ [1]. We claim that $f(K) = \{b\}$. For let $c \in f(K)$, $c \neq b$. Let V_c be a Z -open set containing b' such that $\mu(f^{-1}(V_c)) = 0$. Then $(f|_K)^{-1}(V_c) = K \cap f^{-1}(V_c)$ is open in K and has μ -measure zero. This contradiction establishes that $f(K) = \{b\}$.

5. The disintegration of an ergodic measure. Let (G, X, T) be a bitransformation group with G compact metric, and let μ be a T -ergodic measure on X . Let $\nu = \pi(\mu)$, and let $G_0 = \{g \in G \mid g\mu = \mu\}$. Then G_0 is a closed subgroup of G . By 4.3, μ has a ν -Lusin-measurable disintegration λ with respect to π . If

$x \in X$, let $\phi_x: G \rightarrow X: g \rightarrow g \cdot x$ be the associated homeomorphism onto $\pi^{-1}\pi(x)$.

5.1. DEFINITION. Let $H: X \rightarrow C^*(G)$ be given by $\langle H(x), \hat{h} \rangle = \langle \lambda_y, h \circ \phi_x^{-1} \rangle$ where $\hat{h}(g) = h(g^{-1})$ ($g \in G, x \in X, y = \pi(x), h \in C(G)$).

Here $h \circ \phi_x^{-1}$ is assumed to be continuously extended to all of X ; the choice of the extension does not matter because λ_y is supported on $\pi^{-1}(y)$.

5.2. PROPOSITION. (a) $H(gx) = g \cdot H(x)$ ($g \in G, x \in X$).

(b) $H(xt) = H(x)$ μ -a.e. ($t \in T$).

(c) H is μ -Lusin-measurable.

PROOF. (a) This follows immediately from the definition.

(b) Fix $t \in T$, and define $\omega: Y \rightarrow C^*(X): \omega_y = t^{-1}(\lambda_{yt})$. Since ν is T -invariant, it is easily seen that ω is ν -Lusin measurable; by 0.5, the formula $\eta(f) = \int_Y \omega_y(f) d\nu(y)$ ($f \in C(X)$) defines a measure η on X . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(f) &= \int_Y \langle \lambda_{yt}, ft^{-1} \rangle d\nu(y) = \int_Y \langle \lambda_y, ft^{-1} \rangle \cdot t d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_Y \langle \lambda_y, ft^{-1} \rangle d\nu(y) \quad (\text{by 0.4}) \\ &= \mu(f). \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness in 3.3, $\omega = \lambda$ ν -a.e. One now checks that $\langle H(xt), \hat{h} \rangle = \langle \omega_y, h \circ \phi_x^{-1} \rangle$ ($h \in C(G)$); the conclusion follows.

(c) It suffices to prove (c) when G is a Lie group. For, let G_l, X_l , and λ^l ($l \geq 1$) be as in §2, and define H_l as in 5.1 by replacing (G, X, T) by $(G/G_l, X_l, T)$ and λ and λ^l . Since $\lambda_y = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_y^l$, one has $H(x) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} H_l(x)$ ($x \in X, y = \pi(x)$). Since $C^*(G)$ is separable, H is μ -Lusin-measurable if the H_l are.

Assume G is Lie. By 1.3, there is a ν -measurable section $\tau: Y \rightarrow X$. Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and let $B \subset Y$ be a compact set such that $\nu(B) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and such that both $\tau|_B$ and $\lambda|_B$ are continuous. It is enough to show that H is continuous when restricted to $\pi^{-1}(B) = A$, since $\mu(A) = \nu(B) > 1 - \varepsilon$. So, let $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in A , and let $h \in C(G)$. It must be verified that $\langle \lambda_{y_n}, \phi_{x_n}^{-1}h \rangle \rightarrow \langle \lambda_y, \phi_{x_0}^{-1}h \rangle$ if $y_n = \pi(x_n), y = \pi(x_0)$.

Observe that the map $\xi: G \times B \rightarrow A: (g, y) \rightarrow g \cdot \tau(y)$ is continuous and bijective, hence a homeomorphism. Let $x_n = \xi(g_n, y_n), x_0 = \xi(g_0, y_0)$. On A , define functions f_n, f by $f_n \circ \xi(g, y) = h(gg_n^{-1}), f \circ \xi(g, y) = h(gg_0^{-1})$. Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on A . Extend f_n, f continuously to X , calling the extensions f_n, f also (f_n may not converge uniformly to f on X , but this will not matter).

It may be checked that $\phi_{x_n}^{-1}h = f_n|_{\pi^{-1}(y_n)}, \phi_{x_0}^{-1}h = f|_{\pi^{-1}(y)}$. Thus the proof will be completed if it is shown that $\langle \lambda_{y_n}, f_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \lambda_y, f \rangle$. But

$$|\langle \lambda_{y_n}, f_n \rangle - \langle \lambda_y, f \rangle| \leq |\langle \lambda_{y_n}, f_n \rangle - \langle \lambda_{y_n}, f \rangle| + |\langle \lambda_{y_n}, f \rangle - \langle \lambda_y, f \rangle|.$$

Since $\|\lambda_{y_n}\| = 1$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\pi^{-1}(y_n)$, the first term tends to zero. The second term goes to zero because $\lambda|_B$ is continuous.

From 4.4, 5.2(b), and 5.2(c), we see that $H(x) = \text{const } \mu\text{-a.e.}$ To identify the constant we use the following result; it is a corollary of the proofs of 1.5.4 and 1.5.5(1) in [9]. Let $\xi(\nu) = \{\tau \in M_+(X) | \tau \text{ is } T\text{-ergodic, } \pi(\tau) = \nu\}$.

5.3. THEOREM. *The map $g \rightarrow g\mu: G \rightarrow \xi(\nu)$ induces a homeomorphism of G/G_0 (left coset space) onto $\xi(\nu)$. If $f \in C(X)$, then $\mu(f) = \int_{G/G_0} (g'\mu)(f) d\delta_{[G_0]}(g'G_0)$ gives an integral representation of μ over $\xi(\nu) \cong G/G_0$. Here $\delta_{[G_0]}$ is the Dirac measure at the coset $[G_0]$. This representation is unique: if $\mu(f) = \int_{G/G_0} (g'\mu)(f) d\eta(g'G_0)$ ($f \in C(X)$), then $\eta = \delta_{[G_0]}$.*

5.4. PROPOSITION. $H(x) = \gamma_0 \mu\text{-a.e.}$, where γ_0 is Haar measure on G_0 .

PROOF. We know that $F(x) = \beta \mu\text{-a.e.}$ for some $\beta \in C^*(G)$. By mimicking the proof of 5.2(b), it may be seen that $F(g_0x) = F(x)$ ($g_0 \in G_0$); combining this with 5.2(a), one has $g_0 \cdot \beta = \beta$. If we show that $\text{Supp}(\beta) \subset G_0$, it will follow that $\beta = \gamma_0$.

Define $\hat{\beta} \in M_+(G)$ by $\hat{\beta}(h) = \int_G h(g^{-1}) d\beta(g)$. The measure $\hat{\beta}$ induces a measure $\tilde{\beta}$ on G/G_0 . Fix $f \in C(X)$. On the set A_μ of μ -measure 1 where $H(x) = \beta$, one has $\langle \lambda_y, f \rangle = \langle \hat{\beta}, f \circ \phi_x \rangle$ (5.1). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f) &= \int_Y \langle \lambda_y, f \rangle d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_X \langle \hat{\beta}, f \circ \phi_x \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \int_X f(gx) d\mu(x) d\hat{\beta}(g) = \int_G (g\mu)(f) d\hat{\beta}(g) \\ &= \int_{G/G_0} (g\mu)(f) d\tilde{\beta}(gG_0). \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness in 5.3, $\tilde{\beta} = \delta_{[G_0]}$; it follows that $\hat{\beta}$, and hence β , is supported on G_0 .

5.5. REMARK. Proposition 5.4 gives a precise formulation of the fact that "each λ_y looks like γ_0 "; in fact, for ν -a.a. $y \in Y$ and $x \in \pi^{-1}(y)$, one sees that $\phi_x^{-1}(\lambda_y) = \gamma_0 \cdot g_x$ for some $g_x \in G$. Here $(\gamma_0 \cdot \tilde{g})(h) = \int_G h(\tilde{g}g) d\gamma_0(g)$. If $H(x) = \gamma_0$, then $\phi_x^{-1}(\lambda_y) = \gamma_0$.

We indicate some corollaries of 5.4.

5.6. DEFINITION. An *ergodic decomposition* of $\xi(\nu)$ is a collection $\{A_\eta | \eta \in \xi(\nu)\}$ of pairwise disjoint Borel sets such that $\eta(A_\eta) = 1$ and $\eta(A_\eta \triangle A_\eta t^{-1}) = 0$ ($t \in T$).

This relaxes the usual definition, according to which the A_η would be strictly T -invariant.

We will use the set $A_\mu = \{x | H(x) = \gamma_0\}$ to obtain an ergodic decomposition of $\xi(\nu)$ which "splits up" fibers $\pi^{-1}(y)$ in a nice way.

5.7. LEMMA. (a) If $x \in A_\mu$, then $gx \in A_\mu$ iff $g \in G_0$; i.e., A_μ is G_0 -saturated. If $y = \pi(x)$, then $A_\mu \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$ is homeomorphic to G_0 .

(b) $\mu(A_\mu \triangle A_\mu t^{-1}) = 0$ ($t \in T$).

PROOF. (a) Note $H(gx) = g\gamma_0$, which equals γ_0 iff $g \in G_0$. This implies that $gx \in A_\mu$ iff $g \in G_0$, which in turn implies that $\phi_x(G_0) = A_\mu \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$.

(b) This follows from 5.2(b).

5.8. REMARK. There is a set $A'_\mu \subset A_\mu$, $\mu(A'_\mu) = 1$, such that A'_μ is an F_σ in X and 5.7 holds with A'_μ in place of A_μ . To see this, write $A_\mu = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty K_i \cup N$ where K_i is compact ($i \geq 1$) and $\mu(N) = 0$. Let $A'_\mu = G_0 \cdot \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty K_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty G_0 \cdot K_i \subset A_\mu$ (since A_μ is G_0 -saturated). Then each $G_0 \cdot K_i$ is compact, so A'_μ is an F_σ ; it is easily shown that 5.7 remains valid with A'_μ replacing A_μ .

Replace A_μ by A'_μ , retaining the notation A_μ .

5.9. PROPOSITION. $\{gA_\mu | g \in G\}$ is an ergodic decomposition of $\xi(\nu)$; if $\eta = g\mu$, then $A_\eta = g \cdot A_\mu$.

The notation is meant to indicate the class of *distinct* sets gA_μ .

PROOF. By 5.7(a), $g \cdot A_\mu = A_\mu$ if $g \in G_0$, $gA_\mu \cap A_\mu = \emptyset$ if $g \notin G_0$, i.e., if $g\mu \neq \mu$. Thus $\{gA_\mu | g \in G\}$ is a pairwise disjoint collection of Borel sets. Also $(g\mu)(gA_\mu) = \mu(g^{-1}gA_\mu) = 1$, and $(g\mu)(gA_\mu \triangle gA_\mu \cdot t^{-1}) = \mu(A_\mu \triangle A_\mu t^{-1}) = 0$ (5.7). By 5.3, $\xi(\nu_0)$ is exactly $\{g\mu | g \in G\}$. Thus all conditions of 5.6 are satisfied.

We state without proof a theorem which depends on 5.9. Let $\bar{\mu}$ be the *Haar lift* of ν ; i.e., $\mu(f) = \int_Y (\int_G f(gx) d\gamma(g)) d\nu(y)$ ($f \in C(X)$). Observe that $\bar{\mu}$ is G -invariant, i.e., $g\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}$ for all g . Hence there is defined a natural unitary representation $(G, L^2(X, \bar{\mu}))$ of G on $L^2(X, \mu)$ via the formula $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(gx)$. Similarly, there is a unitary representation $(G_0, L^2(X, \mu))$.

5.10. THEOREM. $(G, L^2(X, \bar{\mu}))$ is the representation induced (see [4]) by $(G_0, L^2(X, \mu))$.

The proof is contained in [7]. Using this result, one may define and discuss a generalization of "functions of type γ " [10].

5.11. Question. If $T =$ integers or reals, ergodic sets have an interpretation (indeed, may be defined) in terms of regular points. May the ergodic sets of 5.6 be interpreted in some analogous way?

6. G nonmetrizable, Y has strong lifting.

6.1. We retain the assumptions and notation of §5, except that G need not be metric. We suppose that $\text{Support}(\nu) = Y$ and that ρ is a *strong lifting* of $L^\infty(Y, \mu)$. Thus ρ is a map from $M^\infty(Y, \nu)$ to $M^\infty(Y, \nu)$ such that: (i) ρ is

linear; (ii) $\rho(f) = f$ ν -a.e. for all f ; (iii) $f_1 = f_2$ ν -a.e. $\Rightarrow \rho(f_1) = \rho(f_2)$; (iv) $f > 0 \Rightarrow \rho(f) > 0$; (v) $\rho(f_1 \cdot f_2) = \rho(f_1) \cdot \rho(f_2)$; (vi) $\rho(1) = 1$; (vii) $\rho(f) = f$ for each $f \in C(Y)$ (see [6] for a complete discussion). It is the last property which is crucial; a function ρ satisfying (i)–(vi) always exists on $M^\infty(Y, \nu)$ [6, Chapter IV, Theorem 3].

Our goal is 6.9, which is an analogue of 5.4 (see also 5.5). We note that if a strong lifting of $L^\infty(Y, \nu)$ exists, then every extension $\bar{\nu}$ of ν has a weakly ν -measurable (0.5) disintegration with respect to π ; see [6].

6.2. THEOREM. *Let $\lambda: Y \rightarrow M_+(X)$ be weakly ν -measurable and satisfy $\|\lambda_y\| \leq \text{const} < \infty$ ν -a.e. There is a map $\lambda': Y \rightarrow M_+(X)$, satisfying the conditions just stated, such that $\lambda' = \lambda$ weakly a.e. and $\rho(\lambda') = \lambda'$.*

The last condition means that, if $f \in C(Y)$, the functions $\langle \lambda'_y, f \rangle$ and $\rho(\langle \lambda'_y, f \rangle)$ are equal for all $y \in Y$. For details and a proof, see [6, Chapter VI, §4]. We observe here that the conditions $\|\lambda'_y\| \leq \text{const}$ ν -a.e. and $\rho(\lambda') = \lambda'$, together with [6, Chapter IX, Proposition 5], show that λ' is ν -adequate (0.6(a)). Moreover, if $\|\lambda_y\| = 1$ for all y , then $1 = \rho(1) = \rho\langle \lambda_y, 1 \rangle = \langle \lambda'_y, 1 \rangle \Rightarrow \|\lambda'_y\| = 1$ for all y .

Recall that $G_0 = \{g \in G \mid g\mu = \mu\}$; let $\xi_0 = \{\eta \in M_+(X) : \|\eta\| = 1, g\eta = \eta \text{ for all } g \in G_0\}$.

6.3. LEMMA. *Let $Z = X/G_0$, $\sigma: X \rightarrow Z$, the projection. Then σ induces an affine isomorphism of ξ_0 onto $M_+(Z) \cap \{\tau \in M_+(Z) \mid \|\tau\| = 1\}$.*

PROOF. We need only show that the induced map is bijective. To show injectivity, suppose $\sigma(\eta_1) = \sigma(\eta_2)$. Then $\eta_1(f) = \eta_2(f)$ for all $f \in C(X)$ satisfying $f \cdot g_0 = f(g_0 \in G_0)$. Pick $f \in C(X)$, and let $\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{G_0} f(g_0 x) dg_0$. Then $\tilde{f} \cdot g_0 = \tilde{f}$; further

$$\eta_1(\tilde{f}) = \int_X \int_{G_0} f(g_0 x) dg_0 d\eta_1 = \int_{G_0} \int_X f(g_0 x) d\eta_1 dg_0 = \eta_1(f),$$

and similarly $\eta_2(\tilde{f}) = \eta_2(f)$. One concludes that $\eta_1(f) = \eta_2(f)$. For surjectivity, pick $\tau \in M_+(Z)$, and let η be its G_0 -Haar lift: $\eta(f) = \int_Y (\int_{G_0} f(g_0 x) dg_0) d\tau(y)$. Clearly $g\eta = \eta$ ($g \in G_0$) and $\sigma(\eta) = \tau$.

6.4. PROPOSITION. η is extreme in $\xi_0 \Leftrightarrow \eta(f \cdot h) = \eta(f) \cdot \eta(h)$ for all $f, h \in C(Z) \subset C(X)$.

PROOF. If η is extreme, 6.3 implies that $\sigma(\eta)$ is also. Hence $\sigma(\eta)$ is a Dirac measure placed at some $z \in Z$, so η is multiplicative on $C(Z)$. On the other hand, if η is multiplicative on $C(Z)$, so is $\sigma(\eta) \Rightarrow \sigma(\eta)$ is extreme \Rightarrow (by 6.3 again) η is extreme in ξ_0 .

Combining 6.3 and 6.4 shows that those measures on X ergodic with respect to G_0 are the G_0 -Haar lifts of Dirac measures on Z .

6.5. COROLLARY. Let $\rho: M^\infty(Y, \nu) \rightarrow M^\infty(Y, \nu)$ satisfy (i)–(vi) of 6.1 (thus ρ is a lifting of $M^\infty(Y, \nu)$). Let $\lambda: Y \rightarrow M_+(X)$ be weakly ν -measurable, and suppose λ_y is extreme in ξ_0 for all y . Then $\rho(\lambda)_y$ is also extreme in ξ_0 for all y .

PROOF. Let $f, h \in C(Z)$, $\bar{y} \in Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y)_{\bar{y}}(f \cdot h) &= [\rho\langle\lambda_y, f \cdot h\rangle](\bar{y}) = [\rho\langle\lambda_y, f\rangle \cdot \rho\langle\lambda_y, h\rangle](\bar{y}) \\ &= (\rho(\lambda)_{\bar{y}} \cdot f)(\rho(\lambda)_{\bar{y}}, h) \end{aligned}$$

by 6.1(vi) and 6.4. Thus $\rho(\lambda)_{\bar{y}}$ is multiplicative on $C(Z)$ for each \bar{y} , hence extreme in ξ_0 .

The next lemma is used in the proof of 6.9; it will also allow us to tie 5.4 and 6.7 together. Observe that if η is extreme in ξ_0 , then η is supported on $\pi^{-1}(\bar{y})$ for some $\bar{y} \in Y$.

6.6. LEMMA. Let $\eta \in M_+(X)$. Then η is extreme in ξ_0 iff $\phi_x^{-1}(\eta) = \gamma_0 \cdot g$ for some $g \in G$ ($x \in \pi^{-1}(\bar{y})$).

PROOF. Follows easily from the fact that $\{\gamma_0 \cdot g \mid g \in G\}$ is precisely the set of measures on G ergodic with respect to the left action (defined by the group multiplication) of G_0 on G .

6.7. In what follows, we will use the notation of §2. Thus (G_l) is a decreasing net of closed normal subgroups of G such that G/G_l is Lie, and $\lambda^l: Y \rightarrow M_+(X)$ is obtained as in 2.3 (metrizability of G is not necessary there). Let $G_{0,l}$ consist of those $g \in G$ such that g projects to a point $\tilde{g} \in G/G_l$ satisfying $\tilde{g} \cdot \mu_l = \mu_l$. It is not hard to show that (i) each $G_{0,l}$ is a closed subgroup of G ; (ii) $\bigcap_l G_{0,l} = G_0$; (iii) if γ_l is Haar measure on $G_{0,l}$, then $\gamma_l \rightarrow \gamma_0$ (vague convergence) in $M_+(G)$ (for the arguments here, see [7, Appendix A]). By use of 5.4 and 5.5, it is easily seen that (for fixed l and ν -a.a. y) $\phi_x^{-1}(\lambda_y^l) = \gamma_l \cdot g_x$ for some $g_x \in G$ ($x \in \pi^{-1}(y)$). Lemma 6.6 applies equally well if ξ_0 is replaced by $\xi_l = \{\eta \in M_+(X) \mid \|\eta\| = 1, g \cdot \eta = \eta \text{ for all } g \in G_{0,l}\}$; hence λ_y^l is extreme in ξ_l for ν -a.a. y . Modifying on a set of measure zero if necessary, we assume this is so for all y .

6.8. Let ρ be a strong lifting on (Y, ν) . Applying 6.2 to replace each λ^l by a new map (again called λ^l) which is equal to the old one weakly ν -a.e., is weakly ν -measurable, and which satisfies $\rho(\lambda^l) = \lambda^l$. By 6.5, λ_y^l is extreme in ξ_l for all y . Since ρ is strong, $\text{Supp}(\lambda_y^l) \subset \pi^{-1}(y)$ for all y .

6.9. THEOREM. There is a weakly ν -measurable disintegration λ of μ with respect to π such that $\lambda_y \in \xi_0$ for all $y \in Y$.

PROOF. We show first that $\lim_l \lambda_y^l$ exists for all $y \in Y$, and defines a weakly measurable map $\lambda: y \rightarrow \lambda_y$. Let $f \in C(X)$. Since $\bigcup_l C(X_l)$ is dense in $C(X)$, there is a sequence (f_n) in this union which converges to f uniformly. Fix n ; there is an l_n such that $l \geq l_n \Rightarrow f \in C(X_l)$. We claim that, if $l \geq l_n$, then

$\langle \lambda_y^l, f_n \rangle = \langle \lambda_y^l, f_n \rangle \equiv h_n(y)$ for all y . To see this, note uniqueness in 1.9 and the definitions of the λ^l imply that $\langle \lambda_y^l, f_n \rangle = h_n(y)$ ν -a.e. But then $\langle \lambda_y^l, f_n \rangle = \rho \langle \lambda_y^l, f_n \rangle = \rho(h_n)(y) = h_n(y)$ for all y , proving the assertion. Simple estimates now show that (λ^l) is Cauchy in l (f is arbitrary), and that, if $\lambda_y = \lim \lambda_y^l$, then

$$(*) \quad \langle \lambda_y, f \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(y)$$

(the limit is actually *uniform*). Thus λ exists and is weakly measurable.

To see that $\lambda_y \in \xi_0$ for fixed y , let $x \in \pi^{-1}(y)$. By 6.7, $\phi_x^{-1}(\lambda_y^l) = \gamma_l \cdot g_l$ for some $g_l \in G$. Using (iii) of 6.7 and choosing a convergent subsequence, we obtain $\phi_x^{-1}(\lambda_y) = \gamma_0 \cdot g$. By 6.6, $\lambda_y \in \xi_0$.

Now replace λ by $\rho(\lambda)$. The remarks after 6.2 and the fact that ρ is strong show that 0.6(a), (b), and (c) hold. To obtain 0.6(d), use (*), the uniformity of the convergence, and the fact that $\mu_n(f_n) = \mu(f_n)$ for all n . By 6.5, we still have $\lambda_y \in \xi_0$ for all y . The proof is completed.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Intégration*, Fasc. XIII, XXI, XXV, 2nd ed., Livre VI, Chaps. 1–4, 5, 6, Actualités Sci. Indust., nos. 1175, 1244, 1281, Hermann, Paris, 1965, 1956, 1959. MR 36 #2763; 18, 881; 23 #A2033.
2. G. Edgar, *Disintegration of measures and the vector-valued Radon-Nikodym theorem* (preprint).
3. R. Ellis, *Lectures on topological dynamics*, Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR 42 #2463.
4. S. Gaal, *A linear analysis and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
5. A. Ionescu Tulcea and C. Ionescu Tulcea, *On the existence of a lifting commuting with the left translations of an arbitrary locally compact group*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 1, Univ. Calif. Press, Berkeley, 1967, pp. 63–97. MR 35 #2997.
6. ———, *Topics in the theory of lifting*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1969. MR 43 #2185.
7. R. Johnson, *Topological and measure-theoretic properties of compact transformation groups with free action*, Dissertation, Univ. of Minnesota, 1975.
8. D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience, New York, 1955. MR 17, 383.
9. H. Keynes and D. Newton, *The structure of ergodic measures for compact group extensions*, Israel J. Math. 18 (1974), 363–390.
10. W. Parry, *Compact abelian group extensions of discrete dynamical systems*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 13 (1969), 95–113. MR 41 #5596.
11. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1966. MR 33 #1690.
12. E. Rauch, *Desintegration von Massen und Zuständen*, Dissertation, Erlangen, 1974.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90007